

# **EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION FOR COMPUTER GRAPHICS**

### Specular Lobe-Aware Filtering and Upsampling for Interactive Indirect Illumination

Yusuke Tokuyoshi

Square Enix Co., Ltd.

### Post-processing for Deferred Shading

- Cross bilateral filter using depth & normal buffers
- Effective for diffuse surfaces



Noisy indirect illumination

result

### **Specular Surfaces**



Geometry-aware filtering MSE: 0.1380 Our filtering MSE: 0.0157

### Similarity of pixels

Pixel values are represented using the rendering equation



#### **Our contribution: similarity of reflection lobes**

### Similarity of Reflection Lobes

- Use **shapes of reflection lobes** instead of shading parameters
- More suitable to represent visual differences than using the difference of shading parameters
- Can measure the similarity of different BRDF models
- Inputs: G-buffer and camera position



# Specular Lobe-Aware Filtering

### **Cross Bilateral Filtering**



- Feature = pixel value of a guidance image
- Gaussian-based weighting function w(i, j)
- Use the Euclidean distance between two pixel values

### **Reformulation of Bilateral Filtering**

- Feature = **distribution function** for each pixel
- Weighting function: product integral of two distribution functions
- Can use an arbitrary distribution function in a non-Euclidean space for each pixel



### Reflection Lobe = Feature



$$I(i) = \epsilon_i + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} L(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rho_i(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \max(\mathbf{n}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}, 0) \delta(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_i) d\boldsymbol{\omega} d\mathbf{y}$$
  
Feature of the pixel

### **Reflection Lobe Similarity**



Gaussian function using

- Depth buffer
- Screen-space positions



Product integral of two reflection lobes

(No analytical solution)

### Spherical Gaussian Approximation

$$p_i(\boldsymbol{\omega})\max(\mathbf{n}_i,\boldsymbol{\omega},0) \approx \sum_l^{N_i} \mu_{i,l} G_{i,l}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$$

- Approximate specular lobes using SGs [Wang09]
  - Analytical approximation for parametric BRDFs
  - Fitting in preprocessing for measured BRDFs
- Product integral is closed in SG basis [Iwasaki12]
  - Complexity:  $O(N_i N_j)$   $\otimes$
  - Use a small number of SGs

### Single Lobe Case

- Simple 🙂
- Extended version of the existing geometry-aware filtering

$$w(i,j) \approx \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\overline{\lambda}_{i}\overline{\lambda}_{j}}}{\overline{\lambda}_{i}+\overline{\lambda}_{j}}\right)^{\beta} G\left(\xi_{i},\xi_{j},\frac{\beta\overline{\lambda}_{i}\overline{\lambda}_{j}}{\overline{\lambda}_{i}+\overline{\lambda}_{j}}\right)$$



Similarity of lobe sharpness

Similarity of lobe axes

### Anisotropic BRDFs

• Use anisotropic spherical Gaussians [Xu13]



## **Experimental Results**

### Denoising for Path Tracing (1)



#### Normal-aware filtering

#### Specular lobe-aware filtering

Resolution: 512×512, CPU: Intel Xeon W5590

### Denoising for Path Tracing (2)



Normal-aware filtering with screen-space bandlimit according to the BRDF parameter

#### Specular lobe-aware filtering

Resolution: 512×512, CPU: Intel Xeon W5590

### Anisotropic BRDFs





Resolution: 1024×1024, GPU: AMD Radeon<sup>™</sup> HD 6990

### **Denoising Performance**



kernel radius	Normal-aware filtering	Ours (1 SG)	Ours (3 SGs)	Ours (1 ASG)
8 pixels	2.43 ms	3.29 ms	15.2 ms	17.7 ms
16 pixels	4.56 ms	6.03 ms	27.6 ms	30.8 ms

GPU: AMD Radeon<sup>™</sup> HD 6990

### Spatio-Temporal Upsampling [Herzog10]



(1.6 ms)

#### Voxel cone tracing [Crassin12]





(1.9 ms)

Total rendering time: 32.1 ms, GPU: AMD Radeon<sup>™</sup> HD 6990

(18.1 ms)

(2.1 ms)

### Adaptive Sampling

- Undersampling error on discontinuous regions
- Solution: re-computation for low-weight pixels



(3.6 ms)

### Limitations (1)

- Undersampling on discontinuous regions
  - Common limitation of bilateral filtering-based methods
  - Solved by temporal filtering & adaptive sampling
- Neglect high-frequency changes of incident radiance
  - Similar to existing geometry-aware filtering
  - Possible solution: use secondary-ray information [Metha13]



Our filtering

Reference

### Limitations (2)

- Our method assumes high-frequency BRDFs produce high-frequency illumination appearance
  - Higher-frequency filtering bandwidth for sharper reflection lobes
- Importance sampling according to BRDFs should be used

#### **Results w/o importance sampling according to BRDFs (instant radiosity** [Kellar97])





### Conclusions

- Specular lobe similarity for cross bilateral filtering
  - Product integral of two reflection lobes
  - Can detect the difference of BRDFs
- Simple implementation
  - Analytical solution of the weighting function
  - Only a G-buffer and camera position are used



### Thank you for your attention